

Cross Mark

Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem. Vol. 25, No. 2 (2024) 263 – 272 http://doi.org/10.21743/pjaec/2024.12.08

# Development of Indirect Spectrophotometric Method for the Estimation of Oxymetazoline Hydrochloride as Pure Form and in its Pharmaceutical Preparations

Eman B. Bashir<sup>1</sup>, Salim A. Mohammed<sup>1</sup> and Aws Maseer Nejres<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq. \*Corresponding author Email: aws.m.nejres@uomosul.edu.iq Received 21 August 2023, Revised 23 July 2024, Accepted 01 August 2024

### Abstract

This method involves the development of a highly sensitive spectrophotometric procedure for estimating oxymetazoline hydrochloride in pure form and pharmaceutical formulations. The method involved the oxidation of oxymetazoline hydrochloride with an excess amount of cerium sulfate (CeSO<sub>4</sub>) in an acidic medium. The liberated cerium ion Ce(III) was then treated with arsenazo III reagent to form a colored complex with maximum absorption at 652 nm. The linearity of the standard calibration curve follows Beer's law in the concentration range of 1.25 to 12.5  $\mu$ g/mL of oxymetazoline hydrochloride with a correlation coefficient of 0.9993 and molar absorptivity of  $2.25 \times 10^4$  L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>. The Sandell's sensitivity index was estimated and found to be 0.0147  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup>. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were also calculated and found to be 0.3036 and 0.9824  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively. The precision (RSD%) and the error percentage were also estimated and found to be in the ranges of ±0.446 to ±0.659 and -1.03% to 0.58%, respectively. The recommended procedure has been applied to estimate oxymetazoline hydrochloride in obtainable dosage forms (drops and sprays).

Keywords: Oxymetazoline hydrochloride, Cerium ion (IV), Arseneazo III, Spectrophotometry

### Introduction

Oxymetazoline hydrochloride (OXMH) Scheme 1. is a white or yellowish-white powder readily soluble in water and alcohol. It is chemically named [6-tert-butyl-3(2imidazolin-2-ylmethyl)-2-4-dime-th-ylphenol monohydrochloride] [1].



Scheme 1. Structure of OXMH

OXMH is found in several nasal spray decongestants and ophthalmic drops. It is a topical decongestant that directly affects alpha-adrenergic receptors by constricting blood vessels supplying the nasal mucosa and thereby conjunctiva, producing vasoconstriction and decreasing congestion [2]. OXMH is used to treat eye redness and epistaxis because of minor irritation. It is not to oxymetazoline preferable use hydrochloride for more than three days, as it causes a return of congestion and swelling of the nose [3].

A literature survey revealed that various analytical techniques were reported for the quantitative estimation of OXMH in pharmaceutical forms such as, HPLC [4], potentiometric method using CeO<sub>2</sub> flow injection analysis nanoparticles [5], using a modified sensor unit via a green method of FI/MZ system [6], chemiluminescence [7], core-shell reversedphase liquid chromatography [8], first synchronous fluorescence derivative spectroscopic (FDSFS) [9], ion-selective electrode [10], spectrofluorimetry using colloidal silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) [11] and electrochemical method using Titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles and carboxyl group functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes [12]. These methods require an experienced expensive reagents, cultured technician, instruments, apply derivatization reactions and several manipulation steps.

Several direct and indirect spectrophotometric methods have also been employed for assaying OXMH in bulk and in its pharmaceutical forms using various reagents such as potassium ferricyanide [13], metoclopramide [14] 1,10-phenanthroline-Fe(III) [15], oxidation reaction with potassium permanganate [16], 2,2-bipyridyl and ferric ion (III) [17], 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine [18], cerium sulfate (IV) [19], 4-chloro-7nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole [20], 4aminoaceto-phenone [21], diazotized sulphanilic acid [22]. However, some of these methods suffer from some limitations, for instance, low sensitivity, low product stability, high temperatures, expensive materials, and the long time needed to complete the reaction. Others required heating, applicable to higher concentrations of OXMH.

In the present investigation, cerium sulphate was used to develop a simple, swift, and cheap visible spectrophotometric method for the assay of OXMH in pure form and in some pharmaceutical formulations.

# Material and Methods *Chemical and reagents*

High purity chemical materials and reagents were used in research paper experiments. The standard material of OXMH was provided by the drug industries, Samarra-Iraq.

# Instruments

A professional Benchtop pH meter (BP3001) made in China was used to determine the acidity function (pH) and a double beam UV-visible spectrophotometer (JASCOV-630) with 1.0 cm quartz cells , made in Japan was utilized for absorption measurements.

Working standard solution of OXMH (100  $\mu$ g/mL= 3.84x10<sup>-4</sup> M): A 0.01 g of pure OXMH was dissolved in 5 mL of ethanol. The solution was then transferred to a volumetric flask of 100 mL and made to the mark with distilled water (DW).

Cerium ion [Ce(IV)] solution  $(5 \times 10^4$  M): In a small amount of 0.05 M sulphuric acid solution, 0.0166 g of cerium sulphate was dissolved, and the volume was then completed to 100 mL with DW.

ArsenazoIII (AZIII) solution  $(2 \times 10^{-4}$  M): In about 10 mL of DW, 0.0155 g of AZ(III) reagent was dissolved, with the same solvent diluted to 100mL 25.5 mL of acetic acid (0.2M) and 24.5 mL of sodium acetate (0.2M) are combined to create an acetate buffer solution (pH 3.4). After being moved to a 100 mL volumetric flask, the solution was diluted by DW to the appropriate level [23].

Surfactant solutions (1% m/v): A 1.0 g of surfactant substance was prepared by dissolved in 100 mL of DW. Sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) 0.05 M was also prepared by dissolving 2.4525 g in 500 mL of DW.

### **General Procedure**

An aliquot of OXMH working standard solution (100 g/mL) containing 25-250 ug of OXMH was pipetted into a series of 20 mL volumetric flasks. To each flask, 0.6 mL of 0.05 M sulphuric acid and 5.0 mL of  $5{\times}10^{-4}~M~\text{Ce}^{+4}$  ion solution were added and mixed well. Solution was kept for 10 minutes at ambient temperature (25 °C)and 0.3 mL of acetate buffer solution (pH=3.4) was added, the solutions were mixed well, then, 2.5 mL of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  M AZ(III) reagent was added and shaken thoroughly. The volumes of all solutions in volumetric flask were made upto the mark with DW and kept for for 10 minutes before using, and the absorbance was measured against the blank reagent solution at wavelength 652 nm.

### Analysis of OXMH in its drugs

Oxymet drops solution (100  $\mu$ g/mL). The containers of three bottles of oxymet drops 0.05% were mixed and shaken well. A 20 mL of the drug OXMH solution was diluted to 100 mL with DW using a volumetric flask.

Nasaclearsprayer 0.05% solution (100 µg/mL). This solution was also prepared in the same way as oxymet drops.

# **Results and Discussion** *The Principle of the Proposed Reaction*

The proposed reaction includes two steps. The first step is reducing Ce(IV) ions with OXMH in an acidic medium to liberate Ce(III) ions, as shown in the equation shown in Scheme 2. In the second step, the resulting Ce(III) reacts with an excess of AZ(III) reagent to produce a colored complex that is soluble in water and exhibits maximum absorption at wavelength 652 nm.



Scheme 2. Proposed reaction equations

# Optimum Reaction Conditions Effect of pH

The effect of pH on the color intensity of [Ce(III)-AZ(III)] is investigated. in Fig.1, The results reveal that the optimum pH range for the complex formation was 3.4 at wavelength 652 nm.



Figure 1. Effect of pH on the absorbance of the complex

Therefore, various buffer solutions of pH 3.4 were prepared [23], and their efficiencies and effects on the absorbance of the complex formed [Ce(III)-AZ(III)] were examined. The experimental results indicated that the acetate buffer solution at pH 3.4 was the best due to its maximum absorbance and clear color contrast.

The influence of different amounts of the acetate buffer solution (pH 3.4) was also investigated. The results in Fig. 2 show that 0.3 mL of the acetate buffer solution was the optimum. Therefore, it has been selected for the next experiments.



*Figure 2.* Effect of the amount of acetate buffer solution pH 3.4 on absorbance

#### Effect of Oxidizing Agent Ce(IV) Amount

The influence of different amounts of 1.0 - 5.0 mL of the oxidizing agent Ce(IV) with a concentration of  $5 \times 10^4$  M on the formation of the Ce(III)-AZ(III) complex was investigated (Table 1). The results listed indicated that the volume of 5 mL of  $5 \times 10^4$  M Ce(IV) reagent solution was optimal because it has high sensitivity and a good determination coefficient (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9992). Therefore, 5 mL of Ce(IV) solution was chosen for the subsequent experiments.

Table 1. Effect of the amount of the oxidizing agent Ce(IV) on absorbance.

| mL of<br>Ce(IV)                    | Absorbance/µg of OXMH added |        |        |        |        | $\mathbf{P}^2$ |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--|
| solution<br>(5×10 <sup>-4</sup> M) | 30                          | 50     | 100    | 150    | 200    | ĸ              |  |
| 1.0                                | 0.0532                      | 0.0783 | 0.1832 | 0.2481 | 0.3132 | 0.9897         |  |
| 2.0                                | 0.0583                      | 0.0933 | 0.2260 | 0.3343 | 0.4883 | 0.9957         |  |
| 3.0                                | 0.0661                      | 0.1191 | 0.2861 | 0.3952 | 0.5271 | 0.9918         |  |
| 4.0                                | 0.0882                      | 0.1622 | 0.3342 | 0.4533 | 0.5961 | 0.9921         |  |
| 5.0                                | 0.1122                      | 0.2010 | 0.4091 | 0.5921 | 0.7872 | 0.9992         |  |
| 6.0                                | 0.1014                      | 0.1941 | 0.4031 | 0.5780 | 0.7832 | 0.9987         |  |

# *Effect of the Oxidation Time and Temperature*

The influence of time and temperature (5 - 70 °C) on the oxidation OXMH with oxidizing agent Ce<sup>+4</sup> was studied and the results are shown in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Effect of the oxidation time and temperature on absorbance

In the above figure, 10 minutes at room temperature  $(25\pm2 \text{ °C})$  were chosen to complete the oxidation process before adding the AZ(III) reagent. The resulting complex was highly sensitive at this time of oxidation.

### Effect of Amount of AZ(III) Reagent

The absorbance of the Ce(III)-AZ(III) complex was investigated using different amounts of 0.5-5.0 mL of  $2x10^{-4}$  M AZ(III) reagent. The experimental results in Fig. 4 indicate that 2.5 mL of  $2x10^{-4}$  M AZ(III) reagent solution can be considered the optimum because it gives a good color contrast. Hence, they adopted it within the working procedure.



Figure 4. Effect of AZ(III) reagent amount on absorbance

### Effect of Surfactants

To increase the sensitivity of the method, the effect of different amounts (0.5–2.0 mL) of various surfactant solutions, including cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC),

sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), and triton X-100 [24], on the absorbance of the colored complex, has been studied. The results in Table 2 show that the addition of surfactant solutions causes no effect on the absorbance values of the colored product, so the substances were excluded.

Table 2. Effect of surfactants on absorbance.

| Surfactant<br>solution*                         | Absorbance / mL of surfactant added |        |        |        |        |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| (1%)  | 0.0                                 | 0.5    | 1.0    | 1.5    | 2.0    |
| Triton X-100                                    |                                     | 0.4081 | 0.4054 | 0.4072 | 0.4004 |
| SDS   | 0 4282                              | 0.3983 | 0.3991 | 0.3922 | 0.3841 |
| CTAB  | 0.4202                              | 0.3911 | 0.3901 | 0.3893 | 0.3864 |
| CPC   |                                     | 0.4014 | 0.3912 | 0.3944 | 0.3802 |
| * Triton 100-Isooovty/Inhonovynolyathovyathanal |                                     |        |        |        |        |

\* Triton x-100:Isoocytylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol

# *Effect of Temperature and Time on the Formation of Complex*

The influence formation on the [Ce(III)-AZ(III)] and its stability was investigated. The reaction was carried out at different temperatures 5, 25, 50, and 70 °C using a water bath with other periods. Table 2 shows the results achieved. The results in Fig. 5 illustrate that when the reaction was conducted at temperatures 5, 50, and 70  $^{\circ}$ , as time increased, observable unstable results in the complex's absorbance values were seen. While at 25 °C (room temperature), the absorbance values showed good stability, therefore, the room temperature was adopted in subsequent experiments.



Figure 5. Effect of time and temperature on the absorption of the complex formed

### Sequence of Additions

The order of additions in the reaction was investigated, and the experimental results in Table 3 show that the (order No. I) was the best and thus chosen for the following experiments at wavelength 651 nm.

Table 3. Effect of Sequence of additions on absorbance.

| Order<br>No.     | Sequence of additions*                                   | Absorbance |
|------------------|--|------------|
| Ι                | $OXMH + H^{+} + Ce(IV) + B + AZ(III)$                    | 0.4253     |
| II               | $OXMH + Ce(IV) + H^+ + B + AZ(III)$                      | 0.4061     |
| III              | $Ce(IV) + OXMH + H^{+} + B + AZ(III)$                    | 0.384      |
| IV               | $H^++$ Ce(IV)+ OXMH + B + AZ(III)                        | 0.3216     |
| kI Izzalma ala l | ania agid (II <sup>+</sup> ) Duffer solution rII 2 4 (D) |            |

\*Hydrochloric acid (H<sup>+</sup>), Buffer solution pH 3.4 (B)

### Effect of excipients (Interferences)

The effect of excipients (interfering) was studied and it was found that they had no significant effect on the proposed method, as shown in Table 4, by calculating the relative error.

Table 4. Effect of foreign compounds for assay of OXMH.

| Interference | Error (%) of 10 μg /mLOXMH / μg of<br>Interference added |       |       |  |  |
|--------------|--|-------|-------|--|--|
|              | 50   | 100   | 200   |  |  |
| Starch       | -0.64  | -0.98 | -1.56 |  |  |
| Glucose      | -0.39  | -2.73 | -2.56 |  |  |
| Arabic gum   | -0.59  | 0.03  | 0.01  |  |  |
| Sucrose      | -0.38  | 0.78  | -0.69 |  |  |
| Lactose      | -0.63  | 0.11  | -0.38 |  |  |

### Absorption Spectrum

On using an acetate buffer solution of pH 3.4, the liberated Ce(III) reaction with the AZ(III) reagent produces a colored complex under ideal conditions. The producing complex shows maximum absorption at 652 nm versus the blank solution (Fig. 6).



*Figure 6.* Absorption spectrum of 5 µg/mLOXMH against DW (A), blank (B), and blank Vs. DW (C).

### Reproducibility and Validity of Beer's Law

A linear calibration graph had been established that obeyed Beer's law throughout the concentration range between 1.25-12.5  $\mu$ g/mL of OXMH with determination coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9993) (Fig. 7). The molar absorptivity value and Sandell's sensitivity index were 2.25×10<sup>4</sup> L/mol/cm and 0.0147  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) were calculated and found to be 0.3036 and 0.9824  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.



Figure 7. Calibration curve of OXMH estimation

### Accuracy and Precision

The accuracy and precision of the proposed method were studied using two different amounts (100 and 200  $\mu$ g) of OXMH and the results are illustrated in Table 5.

| Amount of<br>OXMH<br>μg/20 mL<br>present | Amount of<br>OXMH<br>μg/20 mL<br>found | Recov-<br>ery<br>(%)* | relative<br>standard<br>deviation<br>(RSD%)* |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 100                                      | 98.97                                  | 98.97                 | $\pm 0.659$                                  |  |
| 200                                      | 201.16                                 | 100.58                | ±0.446                                       |  |

Table 5. Accuracy and precision of the method.

\*Average of five estimations

The results in Table 4 indicate that the proposed method has good accuracy and precision.

### **Application**

The recommended procedure has been successfully applied to determine the drug in the available pharmaceutical preparations (drops and sprays). Calculating a high recall rate, it is clear from Table 6 that the method gives good accuracy and acceptable repeatability.

Table 6. Determination of OXMH in drops and sprays.

| Drug   | OXMH<br>Present<br>(µg) | OXMH<br>Found*<br>(µg) | Recovery<br>(%)* | RSD*<br>% | t-exp.* |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| 0 1  | 50                      | 50.40                  | 100.80           | 0.87      | -       |
| 0.05%<br>(Egypt)   | 70                      | 70.66                  | 100.94           | 1.21      | -       |
|  | 100                     | 101.26                 | 101.26           | 1.05      | 1.609   |
|  | 50                      | 49.34                  | 98.80            | 1.03      | -       |
| Nasaclear<br>sprayer 0.05%<br>(Svria)                                    | 70                      | 69.86                  | 99.66            | 0.62      | -       |
|  | 100                     | 97.26                  | 97.26            | 0.75      | 1.927   |
| *Average of four estimations, $\#t = (\bar{x} - \mu) \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}$ |                         |                        |                  |           |         |

According to Table 5's findings, all samples' experimental "t-test" values were lower than the calculated "t-test" value for three degrees of freedom and at the 95% confidence level, which was 3.182. [25-26]. The results of the t-test proved that the developed method is suitable for assaying OXMH in pharmaceutical forms (drop and spray) with acceptable results.

### **Evaluation of the Proposed Procedure**

The standard additions method was used to test the selectivity of the proposed method and its success in estimating OXMH in its pharmaceutical preparations. The method was based on the addition of a fixed amount of Oxymet drops and Nasaclear sprayer 0.05% solutions (100 µg/mL)

separately to a series of 20 mL volumetric flasks containing an increasing amount of  $0-150 \mu g$  of OXMH working standard solution. The results are illustrated in Fig. 8 and Table 7 shows that the traditional adds approach for determining OXMH correlates well with the results of the suggested method within an acceptable margin of error.



Figure 8. Calibration graphs of the standard addition method for assaying OXMH in Oximet drops and Nasaclear sprays

Table 7. The results of standard additions method for assay of OXMH.

| Drug               | OXMH<br>Present (µg) | OXMH<br>Measured<br>(µg) | Recovery<br>(%) |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Oxymet drops 0.05% | 50                   | 49.2                     | 98.4            |
| (Egypt)            | 100                  | 99.69                    | 99.69           |
| Nasaclearsprayer   | 50                   | 48.93                    | 97.86           |
| 0.05% (Syria)      | 100                  | 99.09                    | 99.09           |

# Comparison of the Proposed Method

For the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and sensitivity of the method, a comparison was made with previous methods as shown in Table 8. The results showed that the current method was good.

Table 8. Comparison of some analytical spectroscopic variables of the proposed method with other spectrophotometric methods.

| Parameters   | Present method          | Literature method<br>[14] | Literature method<br>[15]  | Literature method<br>[22]     |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Type of reaction   | Oxidation and Reduction | Diazo coupling            | Oxidation and<br>Reduction | Diazo coupling                |
| Reagent  | Arsenazo III            | Metoclopramide            | 1,10-Phenanthroline        | Diazotisedsulphanilic<br>acid |
| $\lambda_{max}(nm)$  | 652                     | 510                       | 510                        | 496                           |
| Medium of reaction   | Acidic                  | Basic                     | Acidic                     | Basic                         |
| Temperature (°C)   | At room temperature     | 97 °C                     | 70 °C                      | At room temperature           |
| Beer's law range<br>(µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )                     | 1.25-12.5               | 0.05-17                   | 0.1-7                      | 0.8-16                        |
| Molar absorptivity<br>(l.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | 2.25×10 <sup>4</sup>    | 2.7×10 <sup>4</sup>       | 5.74×10 <sup>4</sup>       | $2.30 \times 10^4$            |
| RSD (%) range  | 0.62 – 1.21             | 1.78 - 5.34               | 0.72-1.6                   | -                             |
| Recovery (%) range   | 97.26 - 101.26          | 98.95 - 105.75            | 97.43 - 103.08             | 97.6 - 105.2                  |
| Relative error (%) range                                       | - 2.74 to 1.26          | -0.91 to 0.73             | -                          | - 2.4 to 5.2                  |
| Sandell's sensitivity<br>(µg.cm²)                              | 0.0147                  | 9.6 ×10 <sup>-3</sup>     | 0.005                      | -                             |
| LOD (µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )                                     | 0.3036                  | 0.047                     | -                          | -                             |
| LOQ (µg.mL <sup>-1</sup> )                                     | 0.9824                  | 0.158                     | -                          | -                             |
| Application of the method                                      | Nasal drops and sprays  | Nasal drops               | Nasal drops                | Nasal drops                   |

# Conclusion

A sensitive and selective indirect spectrophotometric approach has been developed for the analysis of OXMH in bulk and its pharmaceutical forms. The proposed approach is based on the reduction of Ce(IV) ion with OXMH drug to produce Ce(III) ion in an acid medium. The producing Ce(III) ion is then combined with AZ(III) reagent at pH 3.4 to form a colored complex that exhibited maximum absorption at a wavelength of 652 nm and obeyed Beer's law within the concentration range 1.25-12.5 µg/mL. The molar absorptivity, recovery percentage range, and RSD% range are calculated and found to be  $2.25 \times 10^4$  l.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>, 97.26% - 101.26 % and 0.62% - 1.21%, respectively. The proposed procedure is simple, fast, and can be mainly used for drug quality control.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

# References

- 1. The United States Pharmacopoeia (2008). USP 32-NF 27, by Roydan, USA, p.3174. OCLC Number / Unique Identifier:638237085
- S. S. Martindale, The complete drug reference. The Pharmaceutical Press, London (38 ed) (2014) 1671. ISBN: 978 0 85711 139 5
- J. D. Blessing, Physician Assistant's Drug Handbook, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn., Springhouse Corporation, Pennsylvania, USA (2001) 794. ISBN: 9780721697864
- Z. Alnedawia, A.M. Hassan, H. Hadib and A. Shabanaa, *Egypt. J. Chem.* 65, (2022) 779. <u>https://doi.org/10.21608/ejchem.2022.15</u> <u>1275.6551</u>

- A. M. Abdel-Raoof, A. H. Abdel-Monem, A. A. Almrasy, T. F. Mohamed, Z.A. Nasr and G. F. Mohamed, J. . *Electrochem. Soc.*, 167 (2021) 047502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-</u>7111/ab7180
- Sh. L. Hamed, B. B. Qassim, *Chem. Methodol.*, 7 (2023) 92. <u>https://doi.org/10.22034/chemm.2023.36</u> <u>1846.1607</u>
- N. N. Wang, Y. Q. Shao, Y. H. Tang, H. P. Yin and X. Z. Wu, *Biol. J. Chem. Lumin.*, 24 (2009) 178. https://doi.org/10.1002/bio.1092
- H. Alghamdi , M. Alsaeedi, P. E. Hayes and J. D. Glennon, J. Pharm. Biomed. Analysis, 214 (2022) 114717. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2022.1147</u> 17
- O. Abdel-Aziz, A. M. El-Kosasy, N. Magdy and N.M. El-Zahar, Spectrochim. Acta A, Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 15 (2014) 59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sop.2014.04.047

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.04.047

- Sh. M. Abd Elhaleem, F. Elsebaei, Sh. Shalan and F. Belal, *J. Fluoresc.*, 31 (2021) 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10895-021-</u> <u>02812-2</u>
- Y. M. Iss and S. I. M. Zayed, *Anal. Sci.*, 20 (2004) 297. https://doi.org/10.2116/analsci.20.297
- A. Munir, B. Bozal-Palabiyik, A. Khan, Shah, A. Shaha and B. Uslu, J. Electroanal. Chem., 844 (2009) 58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2019.</u> 05.017
- N. S. Othman and S. A. Fathe, *Raf. J. Sci.*, 24 (2013) 84.
  <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.33899/rjs.2013.6750</u>
  <u>3</u>
- 14. W. Kh. Khalid, Z. Alnedawi, A.M. Hassan, H. Hadi and A. Shabana, *Chem. Sci. J.*, (12) (2021) 1. <u>https://www.hilarispublisher.com/archiv</u> <u>e/csj-volume-12-issue-4-year-2021.html</u>

- 15. T. N. Al-Sabha and B. A. Rasheed, Jordan J. Chem., 56 (2011) 403. <u>https://jjc.yu.edu.jo/index.php/jjc/article/ view/236</u>
- E. B. Basheer and S. A. Mohammed, *Libyan J. Sci. Technol.*, 13 (2021) 60. <u>https://doi.org/10.37376/ljst.v13i1.2279</u>
- A. M. Nejres, M. A. Najem, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2776 (2023) 030003. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0135976
- I. T. Humeidy, *Tikrit J. Pure Sci.*, 20 (2015) 88. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v20i2.11</u> <u>65</u>
- M. S. Saleem and I. K. Othman, *Ibn Al-Haitham J. Pure Appl. Sci.*, 29 (2017) 397. <u>https://jih.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/j/article/view/137</u>
- S. F. Abdel-Salam, Kh. Abdel-Halim, A. Said and R. A. Madkour, *Eurasian J. Anal. Chem.*, 13 (2018) 1. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejac/87092

- 21. J. O. Abdulsattar, H. Hadi, S. Richardson, A. Iles and N. Pamme, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1136 (2020) 196. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.09.045</u>
- 22. Th. S. Al-Ghabsha, A.N. Obedagha and R.A. Zakaria, *J. Educ. Sci.*, 28 (2019) 224. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.33899/edusj.1999.16</u> 3319
- 23. D. D. Perrin, B. Dempsey, J. Assoc. Offic. Anal. Chem., 58 (1975) 864. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-5874-6</u>
- 24. A. M. Nejres and M. A. Najem, *Israa Univ. J. Appl. Sci.*, 6 (2022) 228. <u>https://doi.org/10.52865/AVWR7365</u>
- A. M. Nejres and M. A. Najem, *Hacettepe* Univ. J. Faculty Pharm., 43 (2023) 195. <u>https://doi.org/10.52794/hujpharm.1129852</u>
- 26. A. M. Nejres and M. A. Najem, AIP Conference Proceedings 2776 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0135976