ISSN-1996-918X



Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem. Vol. 22, No. 1 (2021) 180 - 189



http://doi.org/10.21743/pjaec/2021.06.19

Paper Waste Management: Extraction of Fermentable Sugar from Lignocellulosic Waste Paper

Asghar Hayat¹, Sabika Rafiq²*, Mahboob ur Rehman¹, Farzana Kausar³, Zafar Mahmood Khalid¹ and Pervez Anwar^{1,4}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, International Islamic University Islamabad, Pakistan. ²Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, The Women University, Multan, Pakistan. ³PirMehar Ali Shah Arid, Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. ⁴Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Sialkot, Pakistan. *Corresponding Author Email: sabikar46@gmail.com Received 12 September 2020, Revised 02 June 2021, Accepted 08 June 2021

Abstract

The utilization of paper on a commercial scale is increasing day by day throughout the world that produces million of tons of paper waste yearly and burdened for landfills. The present study focuses on the exploitation of waste papers (office paper, newspaper and tissue paper) as a cheapest alternative source of energy to extract fermentable sugar by applying chemical and enzymatic pretreatments. The quantification of released sugar was analyzed by spectrophotometer and high performance liquid chromatography refractive index (HPLC-RI) detector. Cellulose (12 FPU/g) and β -glucosidase (12 FPU/g) was found to be effective for the extraction of fermentable sugar from paper waste. The contents of cellulose $(C_6H_{10}O_5)n$, hemicellulose $(C_5H_{10}O_5)n$ and lignin $(C_9H_{10}O_2, C_{10}H_{12}O_3, C_{11}H_{14}O_4)$ found in office paper were 40%, 32.5%, 22.5%, in newspaper 46.5%, 30.5% 22.5%, and in tissue paper 62%, 22%, 15.5%, respectively. The percentages of sugar contents assessed in this study were 62% in tissue paper and 46.5% in newspaper and 40% in office papers. Among the three substrates, tissue paper (23.4 mg/mL) released a significant amount of glucose ($C_6H_6O_{12}$), whereas newspaper (20.8 mg/mL) and office paper (19.6 mg/mL) released less amount of sugar. This research of acid pre-treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis was an efficient method to improve glucose conversion from lignocellulosic waste. Furthermore, this approach can be proved the first step towards the sustainable production of bioethanol from wastepaper-extracted sugar.

Keywords: Bioconversion, Wastepaper, Glucose, Spectrophotometer, Bioethanol.

Introduction

Paper waste is a major component of municipal and institutional solid waste and more than 400 million tons of paper waste is produced annually [1]. Paper waste contains more than 85% cellulose, which an alternative source of fermentable sugar (glucose and xylose) as well as an energy source [2]. Paper waste as a renewable source of energy has multiple applications for the extraction of fermentable sugar, which can be

applied for the production of valuable products biofuels, bioethanol, such as biolipids, bioplastic as well as bacterial biomass and biohydrogen gas [3]. Extraction of sugar from paper waste increases the production of biofuels and causes the reduction of municipal solid waste as a promising approach towards sustainable energy.

Fossil fuel is an economical source of global energy demand energy as is dramatically increasing in response to rapid social and economic growth. Dependence on conventional fossil energy sources, including coal, natural gas and oils for the production of fuels are not enough to fulfil the requirement of humans due to the increase in population and excessive use of fuels increased the demand for fossils [4]. It is expected that annual crude oil production will reduce from 25 billion barrels to just about 5 billion barrels in 2050. So there is a need to explore other sources of energy [5]. Energy Information Administration (EIA) estimated that in 2005 the production of fossils fuel reached over 72 million barrels per day in the whole world and it is increasing day by day and reached over to 75 million barrels per day. Due to this scenario, the prices of fossil fuel fluctuate at a very high rate due to increased demand and supply [6]. The depletion of fossils fuel is the main challenge for future [7]. Extreme use of crude oil is not only diminishing resources, but it is also slowly increasing the level of carbon dioxide in atmosphere which is responsible for global warming which effect on human normal life and their financial system [8].

From a decade of years, people and want governments which to solve environmental problems and energy safety have a guide to the encouragement of renewable energy sources. Biomass is one of the big alternates of fossils fuel which can play an important role in a more varied and sustainable energy blind [9]. United State annually produces 7.4 million tons of biomass which could deliver up to 5.2 million megawatt hours of power electricity and 250 million gallons of gasoline [10]. EU has the target of producing 20% of the world energy from renewable sources till to 2020 and according to Renewable Energy Action Plan (NREAPs) more than a third of the EU

electricity consumption will come from renewable energy sources and the share of renewable energy sources in transport is projected to 111.2% in 2020. Brazilian sugarcane ethanol is a world energy product that is fully competitive with motor gasoline and good for replication in many countries [11]. The global production of biofuel has dramatically increased in recent years from 18.2 to 60.6 billion liters from 2000 to 2007 by using cheap substrate [12]. It is estimated that the world has produced about 87 Giga Liters of biofuel in 2008. Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency (PEPA) has reported in 2005 that 1.896 kg/house/day to 4.29 kg/house/day produces waste in major cities.

Biofuel is considered as a cheapest alternative source of energy, which can be sustainably produced by reducing low cost agricultural lingo cellulosic waste materials. Biomass is a big source of energy and having vast availability. Biomass can be utilize to produce many types of energies, including thermal energy, biofuels like bioethanol, biogas and biodiesel. Most energy production circles depend upon cellulosic biomass because it has near-zero greenhouse emissions found everywhere, low price and environment [13]. Different friendly methods and techniques can be worked on to gain energy from biomass [14]. The production of bio-fuel from lingo-cellulosic material is the second generation phenomena, in this process, the lignocellulosic material that has six carbon sugars is converted into simple sugar and then ethanol by fermentation [15]. Conversion of lignocellulosic material to alcohol basically consists of four basic steps like pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation and alcohol purification. During the process of bio-fuel production, the process first needs to address the quick elimination of lignin and hemicelluloses by the step of pretreatment. In recent time it has been confirmed that the dilute acid pre-hydrolysis could achieve better results in low time and get better cellulose hydrolysis. But pretreatment working surrounding must be customized to the particular chemical and structural composition of the biomass [16].

One of the cheap and readily available sources of biomass is waste paper. Waste paper is lignocellulosic material used as solid fuel and can give 16MJ/Kg of energy. The cellulosic material can be hydrolyzed via chemical or enzyme and fermented to produce biomaterial and biofuels [17]. After working, the papers are thrown everywhere, which make the environment polluted, waste the biomass and increase the cost for the demand of new paper. The recycling of newspaper can save the environment and also will reduce the cost of paper and will provide another feedstock for the production of biofuel. The problem in this issue is that the requirements for the production of biofuel from paper are that the temperature needs to be controlled and enzymes used must give sufficient yield [2].

The method discussed here is known Simultaneous Saccharification and as Fermentation (SSF). It utilizes cellulase enzyme to break down the cellulose and yeast to ferment the resulting glucose. The ethanol produced can be used in blended form with gasoline as well as in neat form. Ethanol burns to give out less harmful gasses into the environment, it is a renewable and clean energy [18]. Hydrolysis of the cellulosic material in fermentable sugar is the first move for the manufacture of biofuel. Pretreatment of cellulosic biomass is complicated than the hydrolysis of polysaccharides likes starch. Different chemicals are used for the hydrolysis of cellulose, such as Sulfuric acid, Hydrochloric acid, heterogeneous solid acids, sulfonated carbonaceous based acids, polymer based acids and magnetic solid acids [19]. Brown rots are microorganisms that are used for the treatment of waste paper that attack cellulose. The cellulase enzyme, which is produced by a fungus such as (Trichoderma, Penicillium, Aspergillus, Schizophyllum and chrysosporium) and bacteria (Bacillus, Thermomonospora, Ruminococcus, Bacteriodes, Clostridium, Cellulomonas and Streptomyces) were used. The enzymatic hydrolysis process is low in cost as compared to other methods. The products of hydrolysis are usually reducing sugars, including glucose [20].

Keeping in view the economic importance of lignocellulosic biomass, the present study was carried out for the optimization of dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment condition and enzymatic hydrolysis of waste paper with cellulose for the production of fermentable sugars under different temperature and reaction time period. This fermented sugar analyzed by using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at Biotechnology Laboratory in Pir Mehr Ali Shah (PMAS) Arid Agricultural University Rawalpindi department and the of Biotechnology, **Bioinformatics** and International Islamic University Islamabad.

Collection of Substrate

Office paper, newspaper and tissue paper, which are cellulosic feedstock used as a substrate for the extraction of fermentable sugar for biofuel, were collected from local areas of district Islamabad, Pakistan (Fig. 1a-c).



Figure 1. Sources of lignocellulosic feedstocks (a-c)

Sample Preparation

The substrate was collected in a soilfree and fungus-free condition and shredded into small pieces by using a machine (AS1225CD AURORA). Further substrate was converted into small pieces (mesh size between 40 and 100 mm), then dried in sunlight and stored in sealed polyethene bags.

Chemical Analysis of the Substrate Estimation of cellulose

Substrate composition and its properties were determined by analyzing by cellulose content and total carbohydrate concentration in the substrate. The cellulose content was estimated by Gopal and Ranjhan, 1980 method with some variations. The Amount of cellulose content (%) was calculated by the following formula [21]. In this method, one gram (W1) of a sample (office paper) was taken into a round bottom flask and added 30 mL of 80% acetic acid. The sample with acetic acid mixed with 2 mL of concentrated nitric acid and refluxed for 20 min. After this, the sample was filtered and residues were dried by using the oven at 105°C for a night and again weighted the sample (W_2) . The sample was then heated and converted in ash by using muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 hours and weighed again (W_3) . Similarly processes were done for newspaper and tissue paper.

Cellulose contents (%) =
$$\frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_1} \times 100$$
 (1)

Estimation of lignin

Estimation of lignin was carried out in accordance with the method described by Milagres (1994). By applying formula given below, the lignin content was calculated [22], and the same processes of estimation of lignin were done in newspaper and tissue paper.

Lignin contents (%) =
$$\frac{W_2}{W_1} \times 100$$
 (2)

Estimation of hemicelluloses

Estimation of hemicellulose was carried out by simple calculation.

Cellulose + Lignin - 100 = Hemicelluloses

Optimization of Pretreatment Process

The pre-treatment optimization for the substrate was carried out by using final concentrations of dilute sulphuric acid ranging from 0.5, 0.75 and 2 % (w/w) at 90°C, 100°C & 110°C with residence times of 15, 30 and 45 minutes, in order to know the best conditions at which substrate give maximum fermentable sugars. The pretreated biomass was adjusted to pH 5.0 with 10 M NaOH before enzymatic Saccharification [17]. The maximum amount of cellulose was released during pre-treatment process, further the solution was taken for hydrolysis.

Enzymatic Saccharification and Hydrolysis

Maximum cellulose released during the acidic pretreatment and hydrolyzed by cellulose degrading enzymes released by bacteria. After dilute acid pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis at the solid loading of 5% dry mass (DM, w/w) of each sample was performed using cellulase and β -glucosidase for a maximum of 72 h in a water bath at 37°C separately. Sodium citrate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.8) is used in the mixture to maintain the pH at 4.8 [23].

Detoxification with $Ca(OH)_2$

Detoxification of pretreated hydrolyzate of samples was carried out in a 250 mL flask in an incubated water bath shaker with Ca(OH)₂. Involves increasing the pH of the hydrolyzates to 9, 10, 11 or 12, keeping up to 90 min at different temperatures of 30, 45 and 60 °C, followed by readjustment of the pH to 5 [24].

Estimation of Total Releasing Sugar

Total releasing sugar contents of substrate were measured by adding concentrated H_2SO_4 (purity 97%) in each sample with specific concentrations and leftover for 20 min at room temperature.

The optical density (OD) of total released sugar was analyzed by spectrophotometer at 470 nm against blank [25]. The standard curve for the estimation of the sugar by Spectrophotometer is shown in Fig. 4.

Analytical Procedure

The total reducing sugars of each sample were determined separately by DNS (Dinitrosalicylic acid) method using glucose as the standard and quantification of released sugar was analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography-Refractive Index (HPLC-RI) detector. The analysis of sugar was carried out at a temperature 35°C and the rate of flow was 1 mL min⁻¹ with 70% acetonitrile and 25% of water, mixture as a mobile phase. Samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Residues were filtered and diluted 8-10 times before inserted in HPLC.

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained was statistically analyzed by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) by using MS Excel software.

Results and Discussion *Substrate Evaluation*

Waste paper is made up of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin which can be hydrolyzed via chemical or enzyme and fermented to produce biofuel. The current research has been conducted on waste paper to hydrolyze by dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment. The chemical analysis of substrates revealed in office paper, cellulose 40%. that hemicellulose 32.5% and lignin 22.5% were assessed. In the newspaper, cellulose 46.5%, hemicellulose 30.5% and lignin 22.5% were observed, while in tissue paper, cellulose 62%, hemicellulose 22% and lignin 15.5% were found (Table 1). The suitable substrate for maximum cellulosic contents was found in tissue paper. The results are in accordance with Kim et al., [26] their results showed that vellow waste paper contains cellulose 37 % and hemicellulose 26%. According to Foyle et compositional al.. [27], analysis of lignocellulosic waste paper contains 37% cellulose, 28% hemicellulose and 21% lignin. Jung et al., [28] proved that the waste paper (newspaper) contains 57% cellulose, 8.4% hemicellulose and 17.2% lignin and office paper contained 59.2% cellulose and 9.0% hemicellulose and 17.5% ash content and Lee et al., [29] proved that waste paper consists of 16-22% of lignin and 60-75% of cellulose.

Table 1. Presence of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin contents in substrates.

Cellulose %	Hemicellulose %	Lignin %
40 ± 2.5	32.5 ± 2.5	22.5 ± 2.5
45 ± 1.5	30.5 ± 5	25 ± 5
60 ± 2	25 ± 5	15 ± 5
		Vertice Heinice $\frac{9}{6}$ $\frac{9}{6}$ 40 ± 2.5 32.5 ± 2.5 45 ± 1.5 30.5 ± 5 60 ± 2 25 ± 5

*Data presented in mean \pm SD for three different samples

Optimization of Acidic Pretreatment of Substrate

In with different pre-treatment concentrations of sulfuric acid, cellulosic biomass will change the structure to make cellulose become more accessible to enzymes convert carbohydrate polymers to that fermentable sugars and with more production Our study recorded the highest [30]. concentration of sugar (48.8%) with 0.5% concentration of sulfuric acid treatment from a newspaper, and the lowest sugar concentration (45.2%) was recorded for the office paper on same temperature and time interval (Fig.2a-c).

184



Figure 2. Extraction of sugar at different concentration of sulfuric acid at various temperatures

While the highest concentration of sugar contents (56%) was found in the substrate (newspaper) and the lowest sugar concentration (47.5%) was observed from the office paper at 0.75% concentration of dilute sulfuric acid (Fig. 2d-f). The highest concentration of sugar contents (65%) was recorded in the substrate (newspaper) at 2% concentration of sulfuric acid. And lowest sugar concentration (50%) was recorded for the office paper (Fig. 2 g-i). During optimization of dilute sulfuric acid, it was found that the optimum condition were about 2% sulfuric acid, 100°C and 30 minutes for removal of 65% of sugar contents from newspaper and tissue paper but the removal of sugar contents from office paper was 50%. These results are similar to Gupta *et al.*, [31] reported that the optimum condition were about 2% sulfuric acid, 150°C and 15 min for removal of 60% hemicellulose and 75% lignin from the newspaper. In another study, Dubey *et. al.*, [32] reported the optimum condition for acid hydrolysis of waste paper was 0.50 N sulfuric acid at 120°C for 2 h.

Enzymatic Hydrolysis

In the present study, after acidic pretreatment for the enzymatic

saccharification of the substrate, the cellulase and β -glucosidase enzyme with the activity of 12 FPU/g and 23 U/g were used. 5% (w/v) substrate (office paper) hydrolyzed with enzymes on given time and released sugar 5.5 mg/ mL, 7.5 mg/ mL, 9.5 mg/ mL, 12.2 mg/ mL, 19.6 mg/ mL and 18.3 mg/ mL, respectively were observed (Fig. 3a).







Figure 3. Production of glucose at different time intervals with specific enzymes 12 FPU/g and substrate 23U/g concentration

The same process of enzymatic saccharification was carried out on another substrate (newspaper). The same amounts of enzymes were applied on various time periods (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 & T6) and resulted in sugar 6.5 mg/ mL, 10.6 mg/ mL, 16.4 mg/ mL, 22.6 mg/ mL, 20.8 mg/ mL and 20.4 mg/ mL,

respectively were recorded (Fig. 3b). How longer an enzyme incubated with its substrate, the greater the number of products will be formed. Still, the rate of product formation is not a linear function of time incubation, as all enzymes are protein in nature suffer denaturation and hence losing a significant amount of activity over the period of incubation.

Similarly the process of enzymatic saccharification was carried out on other substrate (tissue paper) and released sugar 7.2 mg/ mL, 12 mg/ mL, 16.3 mg/ mL, 21.5 mg/ mL, 23.4 mg/ mL and 21.5 mg/ mL observed, respectively (Fig. 3c). Optimum conditions for more sugar released from the office paper was 60 h (T5), while for the newspaper 48 h (T4) and for tissue paper 60 h (T5).

The results are in accordance with Elliston et. al., [33] reported that the use of 16 FPU/g cellulase, and 30 U/g β -glycosidase on different time periods (H1 & H2) on 5% substrate (w/v) resulted in а sugar concentration of 7.5 mg/mL and 14.4 mg/mL, respectively. Kuhad et al., [34] also reported that β -glycosidase (60 Ug-1), exoglucanase (20 U g-1) and xylanase (80 U g-1) released a maximum amount of 14.64 g L-1 sugar [35]. Hilloise indicated in her study that the use of enzyme 5.2, 7.8 and 10.4 FPU/g on different hydrolysis time 24, 48 and 72 h on the substrate (2.25, 3.0 and 3.75 g) maximum glucose concentration was obtained 23 g/L.

Analysis of Glucose Through UV Visible Spectrophotometer

Through enzymatic hydrolysis resulted sugar (glucose and xylose) were recorded. Sugar yield from wastepaper analyzed through UV visible Spectrophotometer showed the high amount of glucose 23.4 mg/mL at 60 h retention time with 0.15378 OD in substrate (tissue paper), while in newspaper low concentration of glucose 20.8 mg/mL was recorded as compared to tissue paper on same retention time. Similarly, in the office paper, the amount of glucose was 19.6 mg/mL on 60 h retention time (Table 2). Optical density decreased for newspaper and office paper waste due to the reason enzymes (cellulase and β -glucosidase) showed less hydrolytic activity against newspapers and office papers, whereas enzymes showed high activity towards tissue paper waste substrate.

Table 2. Analysis of glucose at 60 h retention time through UV- Visible spectrophotometer.

Sample	Optical Density	Glucose concentration (mg/mL)
Office paper	0.14732	19.6± 1.16
Newspaper	0.14936	20.8±2.21
Tissue paper	0.15378	$23.4{\pm}0.65$

Analysis of Glucose Through HPLC

After the pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis, the samples were subjected for HPLC determination of glucose. For that purpose, first glucose was analyzed spectrophotometrically and the regression equation was drawn as shown in Fig. 4. Later on, standard glucose was run on HPLC and compared with test samples to ensure the presence of glucose. The standard peak of glucose is shown in the HPLC chromatogram (Fig. 5).

C2 = 0.00071 + 0.168 C1







Figure 5. Standard peak for glucose analysis

Through enzymatic hydrolysis, Sugar (glucose and xylose) yield obtained from wastepaper were analyzed through HPLC, which showed a high amount of glucose (22-25 mg/mL) at 3.5 retention time with 224839 peak area in the substrate (tissue paper). In comparison, newspapers yield less sugar concentration (20-24 mg/mL) at 3.571 retention time with a peak area 361581 as compared to tissue paper. Similarly, in the office paper, the concentration of glucose was 18-21 mg/mL on 3.317 retention time with 324181 peak area.

In the background of these findings, it was observed that acidic pretreatment and enzymatic scarification is an effective method for the conversion of cellulosic biomass into fermentable sugar contents. This research will be further accomplished to achieve a high recovery of fermentable sugar for the production of biofuels and bioethanol.

Conclusion

The present study deals with the utilization of municipal paper waste to extract fermentable sugar for biofuel production. Waste paper utilization is an efficient way to achieve energy conservation and minimize waste. This study showed that acidic pretreatment and enzymatic scarification is an effective method for converting cellulosic biomass into fermentable sugar contents. The highest cellulose content obtained by dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment was estimated to be 62%. By operating the pretreatment at optimum conditions that were 2% sulfuric acid, 100°C and 30 min resulting in 65% of sugar contents from tissue paper and enzymatic hydrolysis of tissue paper show the maximum amount of sugar in a short time period and less sulfuric acid loading subsequently reduces the overall production cost. Tissue paper released a significant amount of fermentable sugar that contain the highest cellulose content and cellulose content is necessary for sugar extraction. In conclusion, lignocellulosic waste paper can be used to obtain glucose syrups of high purity in glucose that can be subsequently used for commercial or industrial purposes. Research is needed to make this process economically feasible. In future, this approach can be used for the sustainable energy production of bioethanol.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by Higher Education Commission (HEC) "Pakistan under the research the project No. 21-441 SRGP/R&D/HEC/20144.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declared that these is no conflict of interest.

References

- L. Wang, M. Sharifzadeh, R. Templer and R. J. Murphy, *Appl. Energ.*, 111 (2013) 1172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.08.0</u> <u>48</u>
- M. Guerfali, A. Saidi, A. Gargouri and H. Belghith, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 175 (2015) 25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-014-</u> 1243-1

- 3. A. Poladyan L. Margaryan K. Trchounian and A. Trchounian. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energ.*, 45 (2020) 286. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.1</u> <u>0.246</u>
- A. Al-Azkawi, A. Elliston, S. Al-Bahry, and N. Sivakumar, *Biofuel Bioprod. Bior.*, (2019) 1106. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1983
- J. W. Day, J. Barras, E. Clairain, J. Johnston, D. Justic, G. P. Kemp, J. Young Ko, R. Lane, W. J. Mitsch, G. Steyer, P. Templet and A. Yañez-Arancibia, *Ecol. Eng.*, 24 (2005) 253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2004.1 1.015
- M. Höök and X. Tang, *Energ. Policy*, 52 (2013) 797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.10.046
- 7. J. L. Stephen and B. Periyasamy, *Fuel*, 214 (2018) 623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.11.042
- N. Srivastava, K. Mishra, M. Srivastava, K. R. Srivastava V. K. Gupta, P. W. Ramteke and P. K. Mishra, New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering: Elsevier; 1st Edition Elsevier, (2019) 29.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64223-3.00003-5
- 9. A. Hepbasli and O. Ozgener, *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.*, 8 (2004) 47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2003.10.006
- M. B. Tonetta, S. Guran, D. Specca, B. Cowan, C. Sipos and J. Melillo, *Ind. Biotechnol.*,10 (2014) 404. https://doi.org/10.1089/ind.2014.0023
- 11. J. Goldemberg, *Science*, 315 (2007) 808. DOI: 10.1126/science.1137013
- J. K. Saini, R. Saini and L. Tewari, *Biotech.*, 5 (2015) 337. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-014-</u> <u>0246-5</u>
- 13. A. Demirbas. *Energ. Convers. Manag.*, 50 (2009) 2239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2009.05. 010

188

- N. Sriram and M. Shahidehpour. Renewable biomass energy. Paper presented at: IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, (2005) 612. doi: 10.1109/PES.2005.1489459.
- N. Mosier, C. Wyman, B. Dale, R. Elander, Y. Y. Lee, M. Holtzapple and M. Ladisch, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 96 (2005) 673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.0 <u>6.025</u>
- 16. T. Eggeman and R. T. Elander, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 96 (2005) 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.0</u> <u>1.017</u>
- 17. B. C. Saha, L. B. Iten, M. A. Cotta and Y. V. Wu, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 21 (2005) 3693. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bp049564n</u>
- M. R. Wilkins, W. W. Widmer, K. Grohmann, *Process Biochem.*, 42. 12 (2007) 1614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.09.006
- 19. Y. B. Huang and Y. Fu, *Green Chem.*, 1 (2013) 1095. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC40136G
- 20. Y. Sun and J. Cheng, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 83 (2002) 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-</u> <u>8524(01)00212-7</u>
- 21. U. Asghar, M. Irfan, M. Nadeem, R. Nelofer and Q. Syed, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A.*, 41 (2017) 659. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-017-0284-z
- 22. R. Khandeparkar and N. B. Bhosle, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98 (2007) 897. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.0</u> 2.037
- 23. M. Salam, P. C. Pondith, A. Islam, M. R. Khan, M. R. Uddin and M. Islam, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 28 (2013) 27. https://doi.org/10.3329/jce.v28i1.18107
- 24. R. Purwadi, C. Niklasson, M. J. Taherzadeh, *Biotechnol.*, 114 (2004) 187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.07.006

- 25. F. Fazio, M. C. Bryan, O. Blixt, J. C. Paulson, C. H. Wong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 124 (2002) 14397.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja020887u</u>
- 26. Y. H. Kim, S. M. Lee, H. W. Lee and J. W. Lee, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 116 (2012) 120.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.0</u> 4.033
- 27. T. Foyle, L. Jennings and P. Mulcahy, Bioresour. Technol., 98 (2007) 3026. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.1 0.013
- J. J. Young, C. M. Suk and Y. J. Kyung, J. Korean Wood Sci. Technol., 41 (2013) 87. https://doi.org/10.5658/WOOD.2013.41.2.87
- 29. Y. Y. Lee, Z. Wu and R. W. Torget, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 71 (2000) 29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-</u> <u>8524(99)00053-X</u>
- 30. S. A. Byadgi and P. B. Kalburg, *Procedia Environ. Sci.*, 35 (2016) 555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2016.07.040
- 31. R. Gupta and Y. Y. Lee, *Bioresour. Technol.*,101 (2010) 8185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.0</u> <u>5.039</u>
- 32. A. K. Dubey, P. K. Gupta, N. Garg, S. Naithani, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 88 (2012) 825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.01 .004
- 33. A. Elliston, S. R. A. Collins, D. R. Wilson, L. N. Roberts, K. W. Waldron, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 134 (2013) 117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.0</u> <u>1.084</u>
- 34. R. C. Kuhad, G. Mehta, R. Gupta, K. K. Sharma, *Biomass Bioenerg.*, 34 (2010) 1189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2010. 03.009
 25. H. C. de Meteoral F. D. Connector W. C.
- 35. H. G. da Mota and E. R. Gouveia, Waste Biomass Valori., 7 (2016) 507. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-015-9475-z</u>